

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/643,234	08/18/2003	Chang Kuo Hua	13899 B	3296	
36672	7590 09/21/2004		EXAMINER		
CHARLES E. BAXLEY, ESQ. 90 JOHN STREET			DUVERNE, JEAN F		
THIRD FLOO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,	= :	2839			

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/643,234		HUA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Jean F. Du	/erne	2839	And			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence add	dress			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Faile Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no even bly within the statut will apply and will se, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be ti ory minimum of thirty (30) da expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron ation to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely n the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A	<u> August 2004</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) is/are object to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examinative The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinative Theorem 1.	cepted or b)[ e drawing(s) be ction is require	held in abeyance. Sed if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CF				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen  2. Certified copies of the priority documen  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been nts have been prity documen au (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicat its have been receiv 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National S	Stage			
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	•	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/643,234

Art Unit: 2839

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is being objected to because of the following informalities: the term "capable of" as recited in the claim is not a positive limitations. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki (US005192225A).

In regard to claims 1-3, Suzuki's device discloses a connector structure comprising a male member and a female member (A, B); the male (A) member having an inserting portion and a an engaging knob at 2b being a block with engaging surface, the female member defined with a groove and an engaging hole at 5 corresponding to the inserting portion and the engaging knob of the male member wherein; the inserting portion between the mouth and engaging hole of the female member respectively provided with a protrusion and of which interiorly defined with a slide track, edges of the protrusions at 9 being corresponding to a predetermined position of the respective engaging knob of the

Application/Control Number: 10/643,234

Art Unit: 2839

male member, the slide track of the protrusions capable of guiding the corresponding engaging knobs during assembling, and the protrusions capable of preventing the corresponding engaging knobs from being scratched by the edges of the respective engaging holes of the female member (the sliding features preventing the knob being scratched). However, Suzuki's device fails to disclose the combination the plurality of engaging knobs. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the invention was made to add more knobs, since it has been held that mere duplication of essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the invention was made to add more knobs in order to increase the size of Suzuki's device.

In regard to claims 4-5, Suzuki's device discloses the aforementioned limitations, but fails to disclose the protrusion on female being in a rectangular or curve shape. It would have been obvious matter of design choice to the protrusion on female being in a rectangular or curve shape, since such modification would involved a mere change in the shape of a component. A change in shape is generally recognizing as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Dailey, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1976). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the invention was made to havethe protrusion on female being in a rectangular or curve shape in order to meet the system and requirement in Suzuki's device.

Art Unit: 2839

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean F. Duverne whose telephone number is (571) 272-2091. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-7:30, Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TC Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JFD

09/15/2004

Jean Frantz Duverne

Primary Examiner